

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--|----------------|----------------------|---------------------|-------------------|--|
| 10/601,701 | 06/24/2003 | Ching-Fa Yeh | BHT-3230-56 | 7550 | |
| 75 | 590 11/17/2005 | | EXAM | INER | |
| TROXELL LAW OFFICE PLLC | | | ISAAC, STA | ISAAC, STANETTA D | |
| SUITE 1404 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| 5205 LEESBURG PIKE FALLS CHURCH, VA 22041 | | | 2812 | | |

DATE MAILED: 11/17/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | Ψ, |
|-------------------|--------------|----|
| 10/601,701 | YEH ET AL. | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| Stanetta D. Isaac | 2812 | |
| | | |

| Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|
| | Stanetta D. Isaac | 2812 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence add | ress | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 24 October 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS | | | | | |
| The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods: | n the same day as filing a Notice o owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The repl | f Appeal. To avoid ab ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 0 | ence, which CFR 41.31; or | | |
| a) \square The period for reply expires $\underline{1}$ months from the mailing date of | | | | | |
| The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) | an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of | f the final rejection. | | | |
| MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f | | NOT ALFET WAS TILL | J WITT IIIV 1 W O | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the | The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2) | on fee under 37 as set forth in (b) | | |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must I AMENDMENTS | extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e) |), to avoid dismissal o | of the appeal. | | |
| The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, | but prior to the date of filing a brie | f, will not be entered | because | | |
| (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be | onsideration and/or search (see NO ow); | TE below); | | | |
| appeal; and/or | tter form for appear by materially it | saconing or onlinentying | , 100000 (0. | | |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a | - | jected claims. | | | |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1 | | 4 | (DTOL 224) | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s | | ompliant Amendment | (PTOL-324). | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). | allowable if submitted in a separate | , timely filed amendm | ent canceling | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: | | rill be entered and an | explanation of | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>8-14</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | |
| 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | nd sufficient reasons why the affida | vit or other evidence | is necessary | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe | al and/or appellant fa | ils to provide a | | |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | on of the status of the claims after | entry is below or attac | hed. | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by | ut does NOT place the application i | n condition for allowa | ance because: | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). | (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper | No(s) | | | |
| 13. Other: | | | | | |
| | | GALL / | EY | | |

PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The newly added limitation, of an excimer layer and recrystallizing the active layer as a polycrystalline silicon would require further consideration and or search.